**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN**
**&**
**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC**

**TUESDAY, THE 31ST JULY 2007 / 9TH SRAVANA 1929**

**WA.No. 1610 of 2007()**
**----------**
**AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.9576/2007 Dated 29/03/2007**
**.....**

**APPELLANT: PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**V.RAMACHANDRAN, ASSISTANT ENGINEER,**
**INTERNAL AUDIT WING, FINANCE AND ACCOUNTS OFFICE,**
**TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,**
**(NAGAMADOM, PELA P.O., MAVELIKARA-3).**

**BY ADV. SRI.K.SASIKUMAR**

**RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

**1. TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD REPRESENTED**
**BY ITS SECRETARY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**2. CHIEF ENGINEER (GENERAL),**
**TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.D.SREEKUMAR, SC, TDB**

**THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & ANTONY DOMINIC, JJ.**

**==========**

**W.A. NO. 1610 OF 2007**

**==========**

**Dated this the 31st day of July, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

**Radhakrishnan, J.**

We find no error in the judgment of the learned Single Judge. Petitioner wanted to treat the period from 28/3/1990 to 10/1/1991, 7/1/1992 to 28/12/1993 and 6/5/1997 to 30/09/1997 as duty. We notice that the claim is raised for the first time in this writ petition. Petitioner has not raised his claim before the Division Bench in WPC No.22546 of 2004 as well. Under such circumstances, we find no error in the judgment under appeal.

Writ appeal lacks merits. Hence dismissed.

**K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, JUDGE.**

**ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.**

Rp